



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3268/2025**

HARSIMRAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Petitioner with his counsel Mr. Irfan Ahmad, Mr. B.P. Singh Chauhan, Mr. Anurag Chauhan, Ms. Neha Singh and Ms. Kanishka Negi, Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the State along with SI Divya Mann, PS CWC Nanakpura.
R-2 with her counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

01.12.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 14387/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3268/2025

3. By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 43/2022, registered at Police Station Nanak Pura, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
4. The petitioner and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court and



have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Nanak Pura, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 26.12.2015, as per Sikh rites and customs. It is stated that one female child, namely, Ananya, was born out of the said wedlock on 22.10.2022, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately since 13.05.2023. It is stated that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

6. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before the Principal Judge, Family Courts, West Distt. Tis Hazari, Delhi *vide* Settlement Deed dated 04.09.2024. The complainant is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received the amount of Rs.10 lakhs, which she was to receive at the time of quashing of the FIR.

7. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

9. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC***



787 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

10. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

11. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 43/2022, registered at Police Station Nanak Pura, Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

12. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.

13. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J
DECEMBER 01, 2025/A/GJ