



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1533/2025**

ABHISHEK KHATRI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravin Rao, Mr. Anuj Arya, Mr. Pallav Gupta, Mr. Akshit Sawal, Mr. Ayan Sharma, Mr. Yashasvi Yadav, Mr. Ayush Kumar and Ms. Simran Shokeen, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel with Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya and Mr. Aryan Sachdeva, Advocates with S. I. Mahendar Patel, P. S. Narela.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN

SHANKAR

ORDER

% **13.05.2025**

CRL.M.A. 14225/2025

Allowed, subject to all just exception(s).

W.P.(CRL) 1533/2025 & FRESH CRL.M.A. _____ (To be numbered)

1. The case has been listed for directions.
2. The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner in the nature of Habeas Corpus for a direction to the Respondents to trace and produce the Petitioner's wife i.e., Respondent No.5 herein, who according to the Petitioner is in the illegal detention of her father i.e. Respondent No.6 herein.
3. The facts in brief are that the Respondent No.5 enrolled as a



student/member in the library of the Petitioner and she regularly visits the Petitioner's library. It is stated that cordial and friendly relationship developed between the Petitioner and Respondent No.5. It is also stated that on 19.04.2025, Respondent No.5 married the Petitioner at Arya Samaj Marriage Trust, Sector-18, Rohini, Delhi. It is stated that on 29.04.2025, father of Respondent No.5 came at the house of the Petitioner and threatened the father of the Petitioner and forcibly took Respondent No.5 without her wishes.

4. Notice in the instant Petition was issued by this Court on 07.05.2025.

5. The Respondent No.5 has been traced and produced before the Court today. We have interacted with the girl. She states that she had gone to Chennai with her father and other family members as she has been selected for the post of Inspector in GST Department. She states that for the present she is staying in Chennai with her father and other family members. She states that she does not want to go back with the Petitioner. She also states her father has not exerted any pressure on her to break her relationship with her husband.

6. Since the Respondent No.5 has been traced and she is not ready to go with the Petitioner, nothing survives in the instant Writ Petition.

7. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

8. The date already fixed i.e. 15.05.2025 stands cancelled.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J

MAY 13, 2025

RJ