



\$~82

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6016/2025**

MONU DHINGRA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. M. A. Niyazi, Ms. Anamika Ghai
Niyazi, Ms. Kriti Bhardwaj, Ms.
Nehmat Sethi, Mr. Aqrnam Ali,
Advocates (M:9810413706)

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharma, ASC-NDMC
with Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandna, Mr.
S.K. Sareen, Advocates with Mr.
Ankit Goel, ALO (M:9810798828)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

% **14.05.2025**

1. The present petition is listed for compliance.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners expresses satisfaction over the list, as provided by the respondent, in terms of the Judgment dated 07th May, 2025.
3. It is pointed out that a typographical error has crept in Para-4 of the Judgment dated 07th May, 2025.
4. Accordingly, it is clarified that Para-4 of the Judgment dated 07th May, 2025, shall read as follow:

“4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had filed a similar writ petition, i.e., W.P.(C) 1878/2022, wherein, directions were passed against the respondent herein to provide the extracts free of charge to the petitioners. However, it is submitted that despite the order dated 26th August, 2021, passed in W.P.(C) 3932/2021, the respondent has not been complying with the



orders, on account of which, the petitioners also had to file a contempt petition, i.e., CONT.CAS(C) 717/2021, against the respondent for non-compliance.”

5. Accordingly, Registry of this Court is directed not to list this matter further.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

MAY 14, 2025/au