



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5964/2025

SH. ASHOK KUMAR TANEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Goel and Mr. Sanjay Singh, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashish Dixit, CGSC, Mr. Shivam Tanawar, Mr. Umar Hashmi and Ms. Urmila Sharma, Advs. for UOI.
Mr. Ravinder Agarwal, Mr. Manish Kumar Singh and Mr. Vasu Agarwal, Advs. for R-2/CVC.
Mr. Harshit Chopra, Adv. and Mr. Rishabh Singh, ALO, Vigilance, MCD along with Mr. Jahid, Inspector, Vigilance for R-3, 4 and 5/MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

24.07.2025

%

1. The grievance canvassed by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the complaint preferred by him to the Central Vigilance Commission (CVC), [filed as Annexure-P9 to the present petition] has not been decided in accordance with law.
2. It transpires that pursuant to receipt of the said complaint, the CVC intimated to the petitioner as under:

“Central Vigilance Commission

Dated: 08.10.2024

To

*Shri Ashok Kumar Taneja
H.NO. 406, Jheel Khuranja,
Delhi-110051.*

Sub: Complaint against Shr. Pradeep Kumar, CVO and Sh. Patanjali



Prakash, Director of Vigilance, MCD-reg.

*Ref.: Your complaint No. CPFRAMS No.DAPRF/P/2024/0001508
dated 13.08.2024.*

*I am directed to inform that your complaint dated 13.08.2024
has been sent to JS & CVO, Ministry of Home Affairs for necessary
action vide Commission's OM of even number dated 23.09.2024.*

*-sd-
(Ashutosh Tiwari)
Section Officer"*

3. Mr. Ashish Dixit, learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the Joint Secretary (CVO), Ministry of Home Affairs, submits that the complaint shall be duly considered and decided in accordance with law, and a reasoned order shall be passed thereon under intimation to the petitioner.
4. The above redresses the grievance of the petitioner.
5. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

SACHIN DATTA, J

JULY 24, 2025/cl