



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1616/2022**

ARCHANA GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Dr. Sushil Kumar Gupta and Mr.
Sakshit Bhardwaj, Advocates**

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Ravi Sharma, SPP with Mr.
Swapnil Choudhary, Mr. Ishann
Bhardwaj, Mr. Sagar and Ms.
Madhulika Rai Sharma, Advocates
for CBI**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

25.02.2025

%

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the CBI submitted that after completion of the investigation, chargesheet was filed on 28th February, 2024 which was followed by the supplementary chargesheet dated 31st December, 2024 before the Court concerned. It is submitted that as per the chargesheet as well as the supplementary chargesheet, no incriminating evidence was found against the petitioner. Therefore, the instant petition may be disposed of in the light of said submission.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has not contradicted the submission made by the learned counsel for the CBI.
3. Taking into consideration of the statement made by the learned counsel for the CBI and no objection from the learned counsel for the



petitioner, this Court is of the view that nothing survives for adjudication in the instant matter.

4. Accordingly, the instant petition along with pending application(s), if any, is disposed of.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2025

Rk/ryp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)