



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3167/2025**

M/S A2Z INFRA SERVICES LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kirti Garg, Adv. along with AR
Mr. Rohtash

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with ASI Salar Hussain, PS Neb Sarai
Mr. Vivek Nagar and Mr. Mohit, Advs. for R-2
along with R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

16.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 236/2024 registered at Police Station Neb Sarai on 08.06.2024, for offences punishable under Sections 287/337 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 03.06.2024, the petitioner company was supervising the maintenance work of the electrical line in Khanpur area including Durga Vihar. Respondent no. 2 at that time was working with the petitioner company as a lineman. On 03.06.2024, respondent no. 2 was on duty at Khanpur Division in his regular shift of 08:00 AM to 04:00 PM. While addressing a complaint near Durga Vihar



transformer, at around 10:00 AM, he lost his balance while he had climbed up on the electricity pole and his right hand came in contact with another line nearby due to which he suffered an electric shock and fell from the pole. Due to this incident, respondent no. 2 suffered injuries including electrical burns and was immediately taken to Batra Hospital for treatment and, thereafter, he was referred to Safdarjung Hospital. During the treatment, the respondent no. 2 lost one of his arm.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner company has submitted that the petitioner company and respondent no. 2 have now settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated by the petitioner company for the injuries suffered by him.

4. Copy of the Settlement Agreement dated 11.12.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-2. *Qua* this deed, respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 236/2024 registered at Police Station Neb Sarai against the petitioner company. In pursuance of the said settlement, the petitioner company has agreed to pay a total compensation of ₹15,50,000/- to respondent no. 2 in the discharge of his social responsibility and on humanitarian grounds, out of which a remaining amount of ₹13,50,000/- was agreed to be paid at the time of quashing of the FIR.

5. At this juncture, The AR of petitioner company has handed over a Demand Draft bearing No. 107063 dated 28.08.2025 for the balance amount of ₹13,50,000/- in the name of respondent no. 2 today in the Court.



Respondent no. 2 has verified the particulars of the Demand Draft to his satisfaction and stated them to be correct.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned APP for the State submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The AR of petitioner company is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Neb Sarai. Authority letter dated 07.11.2024 authorising Mr. Rohtash to appear before this Court for quashing of the present FIR is on record.

10. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

11. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

12. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioner company and respondent no. 2 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No. 236/2024



registered at Police Station - Neb Sarai for the offences punishable under Sections 287/337 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the petitioner company.

15. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 16, 2025/ar/ryp