



\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 162/2024 and CM APPL. 28443/2024

ANJALI CHAUDHARY

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Urvi Gupta and Ms.
Mehraj, Advs.

versus

BRAHAMJEET SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

23.12.2025

%

1. The Appellant impugns the judgment and order dated 19.01.2024 passed by the learned Family Court, whereby her petition seeking grant of the custody of her daughter was disposed of in the following manner:

“(i) The custody of daughter shall remain with the father.

(ii) The mother shall be entitled to custody of child for one week during summer vacation. Dates to be decided by parties with mutual consent.

(iii) the mother shall be entitled to custody of the child on two more occasions for two days each time. It could be during winter vacations or any other time, with the consent of both the parties. This order is passed keeping in view the fact that child is now studying in hostel and would be spending most of her time in hostel only.

(iv) the mother shall be entitled to speak with the child through video call on every festival when child shall be visiting her father, for half an hour.”

2. The aforesaid order has been passed after interacting with the daughter (child) on multiple occasions, who is now more than 17 years of age as on date.

3. Learned counsel representing the Appellant submits that, with



the passage of time, the Appellant is no longer pressing for custody of her daughter; however, it is prayed that the duration of overnight stay should be enhanced.

4. This Court has considered the submission advanced by the learned counsel representing the Appellant.

5. It is evident that the learned Family Court, despite reluctance expressed on the part of the child, has granted interim custody to the Appellant during the summer and winter vacations. This Court is of the view that, the child is likely to attain majority within next 11 months and is now at a stage where she is capable of making her independent decisions.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, no ground for interference is made out. Accordingly, the present Appeal is dismissed. The pending application also stands disposed of.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.
DECEMBER 23, 2025/sp/hr