



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 1511/2025, CRL.M.A. 14035/2025**
RAGHU @ SHEKH ASRAF AND ORS.Petitioners
Through: Petitioners with their counsel.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Abhinav Arya and Mr. Aryan
Sachdeva, Advocates with SI Pooja,
PS: Seemapuri along with Respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

30.07.2025

1. Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("BNSS") has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for quashing of FIR No.0253/2025, under Sections 74/76/79/115(2) BNS and Section 8 POCSO Act, registered at PS: Seemapuri and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, in terms of Settlement Deed/MoU dated 03.04.2025.
2. Issue Notice.
3. Learned APP for the State appearing on advance Notice, accept Notice.
4. Respondent No.2/Complainant is present in the Court and submits that she is 15 years old. She further submits that while she was playing in the park, a quarrel took place between her family members and the petitioners, who slapped her. FIR was consequently registered.
5. It is a case where parties are neighbours and dispute arose between them due to some fight, which took place.



6. The matter has now been amicably settled between the parties in terms of Settlement Deed/MoU dated 03.04.2025 and in view of the same, the present Petition has been filed. The parties have endorsed the amicable Settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily and have undertaken to remain bound by the terms of the Settlement. Thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

7. The present Petition has been signed by the Petitioners and is supported by their Affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of Settlement Deed/MoU dated 03.04.2025 and they submit that the said Settlement has been arrived at between them without any pressure and coercion. Respondent No.2 states that she has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

9. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the aforesaid FIR in question.

10. Consequently, FIR No.0253/2025, under Sections 74/76/79/115(2) BNS and Section 8 POCSO Act, registered at PS: Seemapuri and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. The Petition along with pending Applications, is disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

JULY 30, 2025/R