



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1604/2020**

PARVEJ AHMED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Bali and Ms. Meghna Bali,
Advocates with petitioner through VC

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amol Singh, ASC for the State
with Insp. Jitender Rana, P.S. Civil
Lines and Insp. Ashwani, P.S. GTB
Nagar
R-2 through VC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

01.05.2025

%

1. The present Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'CrPC'*) has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for quashing of FIR No. 251/2020 under Section 66D IT Act, 2000 registered at P.S. G.T.B. Enclave and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. Briefly stated, on 22.07.2020, on the basis of the complaint made by respondent No. 2/Dr. Rakesh Dubey, the aforesaid FIR was registered against the petitioner along with one Sajid who has not yet been arrested by the police. It was stated in the complaint that on 17.07.2020, the complainant was searching for purchase of iphone on OLX. Two sellers responded and the deal was fixed for Rs.32,000/- with one person. The seller disclosed his name as Babloo working with the CISF. On the



instructions of the said Babloo, the complainant transferred him Rs.4500/-, 5500/- and further Rs.22,000/- on different occasions. Thereafter, one person called the complainant posing himself as courier boy and demanded more money, thus, in total Rs.1,21,499/- was paid by the complainant.

3. On 4.8.2020, a settlement has been arrived at between the accused and the complainant, wherein the complainant stated in the settlement agreement that he had settled the matter qua the petitioner and received Rs.1,95,000/- from the father of the petitioner towards full and final settlement. A request for quashing of the said FIR has been made on account of the Settlement *inter se* the parties. The amount of Rs.1,95,000/- has already been received by the complainant from father of the petitioner.

4. The parties are present before this Court in-person today and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

5. The present petition has been signed by the parties and is supported by their Affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at on 04.08.2020 and they also submit that the said Settlement has been arrived between the parties, without any pressure and coercion.

6. Today, the Respondent No. 2/Complainant, who is present through VC, states that he has received all amounts due to him and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in



the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

8. Accordingly, FIR No. 251/2020 under Section 66D IT Act, 2000 registered at P.S. G.T.B. Enclave and the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

9. The Petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 1, 2025

N