



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1484/2025**

SUKHBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Gosain, Mr. Rajiv Mohan,
Mr. Himanshu, Ms. Anushka Baruah,
Mr. Nilanjan Dey, Mr. Swapnil
Krishna, Mr. Rishabh Bhati, Mr.
Rehan Khan and Mr. Prakhar
Bhardwaj, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(criminal) for the State with Mr.
Abhinav Kr. Arya and Mr. Aryan
Sachdeva, Advocates.
ACP P.S. Khushwah with ACP Rahul
Vikram, ACP Hridaya Bhushan, Insp.
Sanjay Gupta and SI Sandeep Kumar,
Special Cell.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

19.05.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CRL.M.A. 13764/2025 (exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application is disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1484/2025

3. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 482 of the CrPC seeks the following prayers:-

“(A) Transfer the investigation in relation to FIR No. 629/2024, PS Farsh



Bazar (investigated by PS Special Cell) to any other specialised wing/unit of the Delhi Police, as the investigation in the aforesaid FIR is being carried out in a motivated, partial, and biased manner by certain officials of the Special Cell to victimise the Petitioner.

(B) Pass any further order(s) or direction(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the circumstances of the present case.”

4. Learned Standing Counsel (Criminal) for the State submits that the officers with whom the petitioner apprehends bias on account of professional rivalry are Inspector Sanjay Gupta, Inspector Manjeet Jaglan and Sub Inspector Sundar Gautam. It is further submitted that out of the three officers, two of them *i.e.*, Inspector Manjeet Jaglan and Sub Inspector Sundar Gautam are posted in a different range and are not concerned with the investigation in the present FIR. Inspector Sanjay Gupta, who is posted in the relevant range will not be associated with the investigation which shall be supervised under the supervision of the Additional Commissioner of Police.
5. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage and seeks leave to withdraw the same.
6. Leave granted.
7. The present petition is withdrawn as not pressed and disposed of.
8. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 19, 2025/sn/sc

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)