



\$~86 & 87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 357/2025

SARVESH SECURITY SERVICES PVT LTD THROUGH ITS
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY COL

RETD S N P SINGHPetitioner

Through: Ms. Sneha Singh, Advocate.

versus

MANAGING DIRECTOR DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LTDRespondent

Through: Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva and
Mr. Rohit Lochav, Advocates.

87

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 358/2025

SARVESH SECURITY SERVICES PVT LTD THROUGH ITS
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY COL RETD

S N P SINGHPetitioner

Through: Ms. Sneha Singh, Advocate.

versus

MANAGING DIRECTOR DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
LTDRespondent

Through: Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva and
Mr. Rohit Lochav, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

05.05.2025

I.A. 11200/2025 in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 357/2025 & I.A.



11201/2025 in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 358/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 357/2025 & O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 358/2025

3. These petitions are filed on behalf of the Petitioner under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 ('1996 Act') seeking extension of mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
4. Disputes having arisen between the parties in relation to Contracts for providing security services to the Respondent, Petitioner approached this Court for appointment of Arbitrator in ARB.P. 181/2014 and vide judgment dated 16.03.2018, this Court appointed a Sole Arbitrator. From time to time, mandate of the learned Arbitrator was extended by this Court. These petitions have been filed for extension of the mandate by a period of one month to enable the learned Arbitrator to pronounce and publish the Award.
5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that this Court vide order dated 02.12.2024 had extended the mandate in O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 937/2024 and O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 938/2024 by a period of 04 months, which had expired on 28.11.2024. It is further submitted that after the expiry of the mandate, O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 284/2025 and O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 286/2025 were filed for extension, however, inadvertently the order dated 28.03.2025 does not mention the period of extension and hence, these petitions are filed by way of abundant caution.
6. Issue Notice.
7. Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva, learned counsel accept notice on behalf of the Respondent and on instructions, submits that the Respondent



has no objection to the extension of mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.

8. Learned counsels for the parties jointly submit that the arbitral proceedings are at the stage of pronouncement and publishing of the award and therefore, mandate of the Arbitrator be extended by one month.

9. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties, mandate of the learned Arbitrator is extended by one month from 05.05.2025 for pronouncing and publishing the Award and period between 29.03.2025 to 04.05.2025 is regularised.

10. Petitions are allowed and disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MAY 05, 2025/shivam