



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3106/2025

KRISHAN MURARI AGGARWAL AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Sumit Nandvani and Ms. Anchal Jindal, Advs. with the petitioners in person

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with SI Amit, PS S P Badli

Ms. Niyati Sharma and Mr. Saurabh Kumar Kaushik, Advs. for R-2 with the respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

31.10.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR bearing No. 222/2022 of PS Samaypur Badli for offence under Section 323/354/354B/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are respondent no. 2 married petitioner no. 3 and she alleged that soon after marriage, the petitioners started harassing her for dowry. Respondent no. 2 further stated that on 13.12.2021, petitioner no. 1 entered her room, molested her with sexual intent, assaulted her, and tore her clothes. On 15.12.2021, she was allegedly thrown out of her matrimonial home resulting into the present FIR.



3. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Compromise deed dated 14.11.2024 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure B. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 222/2022 registered at Police Station Samaypur Badli against the petitioners.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that respondent no. 2 has settled all her claims with the petitioners and all disputes of any nature whatsoever.
6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
9. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Samaypur Badli. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. She has further submitted that she wishes to move on in her life and put a quietus to the present litigation, as the



continuance of these proceedings would serve no fruitful purpose and may only prolong mental agony to both sides.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, FIR bearing No. 222/2022 of PS Samaypur Badli for offence under Section 323/354/354B/509 of the Indian Penal Code, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

OCTOBER 31, 2025

Sk/dd