



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 122/2021**

SHRI SHORI LAL THROUGH ATTORNEY HOLDER

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

Versus

ISMAT ZEHRA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pratham Arora, Advocate
(through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

28.11.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the landlord appearing through video conferencing submits that vacant, peaceful and physical possession of the subject premises has already been, handed over to/ taken over by, the landlord on 30.12.2024. He submits that since the tenant has not paid the user and occupation charges as fixed by this Court, he seeks liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings qua that in accordance with law.

2. As such relying upon *N.C. Daga vs. Inder Mohan Singh Rana* [2003(1) SCC 453] and *Vinod Kumar Verma vs. Manmohan Verma & Anr.* [Civil Appeal Nos.5220-5221 of 2008, order dated 19.08.2008], the present petition has become infructuous as there is nothing remaining therein.



3. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of. Needless to say, it shall be open for the landlord to seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law *qua* the recovery of the amount due towards the user and occupation charges from the tenant.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

NOVEMBER 28, 2025/So