



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 325/2022**

VIVEK GARG, ADVOCATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Garg Advocate (through
VC)

versus

STATE AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kalia and Ms. Kanika
Vohra, Advocates with SI Mithilesh
Kumar, PS Civil Lines.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

28.05.2025

CRL.L.P. 325/2022

1. Criminal Leave Petition under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.P.C.'*) read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner to set-aside the Judgment dated 21.03.2022 of learned ACMM-01, Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi *vide* Complaint Case ID No. 4/2019. Hence, the Leave to Appeal is sought.

2. For the reasons stated in the Leave Petition and the submissions made, the same is allowed.

CRL.A...../2025 (to be numbered)

3. Appeal under Section 378(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.P.C.'*) read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner to set-aside the Judgment dated 21.03.2022



of learned ACMM-01, Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi *vide* Complaint Case ID No. 4/2019 and to issue directions to punish/convict the Accused, Akhilesh Pati Tripathi (MLA-AAP) under Section 420, as well as, under Section 468/409 etc. of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

4. Arguments have been heard on behalf of the Appellant.
5. Judgment reserved.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 28, 2025/RS