



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 18412/2004 & CM APPL. 13921/2004
PURSHOTTAM KALRA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mohinder Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal and Ms. Aishwarya
Malhotra, Advocates for DU.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

23.09.2025

%

1. By order dated 26.08.2025, it was recorded as follows:

"1. There is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner when the matter is taken up for hearing. There has been no appearance on behalf of the petitioner for several dates of hearing.

2. In the interest of justice, the Registry is directed to issue Court Notice to the petitioner at the address mentioned in the memo of parties and to learned counsel on record for the petitioner.

3. In the event the petitioner does not enter appearance despite compliance, it may be presumed that the petition does not survive for adjudication.

4. List on 23.09.2025."

2. The Registry reports that Court notice could not be served upon the petitioner at the address provided in the memo of parties, as no information was found about him at the said address. Similarly, Court notice could not be served upon the learned counsel for the petitioner as he had left or sold away the property at the address mentioned in the writ



petition.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition, alongwith the pending application, is dismissed for non-prosecution.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

SS/JM/