



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1688/2025**

KASHISH JUNEJA

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given).

versus

THE STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State.

R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

21.05.2025

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant seeks anticipatory bail in FIR bearing No. 582/2024, dated 20.11.2024, registered at Police Station Tilak Nagar, District West, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Section 110/3 (5) of the Bhartiya Nagrika Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS').

2. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.

3. The brief facts, as discernible from the material on record are that during the intervening night of 19/20th November, 2024, SI Ram Chander was on emergency duty, when an information vide DD No. 18 A dated 20.11.2024 was received at Acharya Bhiksu Hospital, Moti Nagar, Delhi and the same was marked to concerned Investigation Officer (IO). He had visited the hospital and had recorded the statement of the victim. The injured



had alleged that on 19.11.2024, Anmol alongwith his friends Kashish Juneja (the present petitioner) and Annu had come to his and had stated consuming alcohol in a room. It is further stated that, during the night Anmol and Kashish had asked the injured to prepare and bring some food for them. Due to some delay in preparation of the food, heated arguments were ensued between them, and accused Anmol had stabbed him with a kitchen knife and had run away from the place of incident.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant states that the petitioner herein has falsely been implicated in this case and further states that the petitioner and the complainant have entered into a compromise. The learned counsel for the applicant further states that there is no previous involvement of the petitioner and all the accused are young in age and one of them is a student.

5. The learned APP for the State has opposed the bail application and states that the petitioner had stabbed the complainant.

6. The complainant is also present before this Court and states that she has entered into a settlement with the present accused. He states that the petitioner was his employer and he was under the influence of liquor as were the accused persons. He further states that there were heated arguments between them, which has resulted into the alleged incident. He, however, does not dispute the stabbing as taken place.

7. Keeping in view all the facts and circumstances mentioned hereinabove, and also the fact that the complainant does not want to pursue the present FIR and has also entered into a compromise with the petitioner, this Court directs that in the event of arrest, the applicant be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of



the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/I.O concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall remain available on mobile numbers; shared by him with the Police.
 - ii) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned IO/SHO.
 - iii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an attempt to influence the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
 - iv) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned I.O/SHO.
8. The bail application stands disposed of.
 9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 21, 2025/A

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)