



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2970/2025 & CRL.M.A. 13224/2025**

TEJRAM & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Appearance not given.
Petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State
with Mr. Aditya Vikram Singh, Adv. and SI
Pradeep, PS Kanjhawala.
Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

11.09.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR no. 37/2009 registered at Police Station Kanjhawala, for offences punishable under Sections 448/380/411/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The subject FIR was filed against the petitioners alleging that they stole the complainant's buffalo. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners.
3. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and



harmonious future. The complainant, who appears in person, submits that the buffalo has been returned to him by the petitioners.

4. Copy of settlement agreement dated 16.12.2024 is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure A”. Qua this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 37/2009 registered at Police Station Kanjhawala against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Kanjhawala. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR no. 37/2009



registered at Police Station Kanjhawala, for offences punishable under Sections 448/380/411/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, stand quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

12. The petition, along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025

Sk/av