



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5749/2025**

SH SATISH KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Praveen Suri, Mr. Sumit
Pandey, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr.
Sunil Kumar Jha, Advocates for R-
2 and 3.

Ms. Arti Bansal, Ms. Shruti Goel,
Advocates for DDA.

Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

28.05.2025

1. Pursuant to the order dated 01.05.2025, Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, learned counsel for the Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi ["GNCTD"], submits that the petitioners' request for an alternative plot was rejected by the order of the District Magistrate dated 03.12.2019 on the recommendations of the Scrutiny Committee. According to him, the matter could not be revisited thereafter in the absence of any challenge to the said order.

2. Mr. Praveen Suri, learned counsel for the petitioners, however, submits that other similarly situated persons have been allotted alternative plots thereafter, as stated in the legal notice dated 02.04.2025, annexed at



Annexure P-2 to the writ petition.

3. At this stage, the only relief sought is for a response to the representation to be communicated to the petitioners.

4. Having regard to this limited relief, the respondent No. 1-GNCTD is directed to communicate its position to the petitioners through their counsel within a period of eight weeks from today. This Court has not entered into the merits of the petitioners' case.

5. The writ petition is disposed of with these directions, reserving the rights and remedies of the parties.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 28, 2025
'Bhupi/Jishnu'/'