



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1397/2025**

YASHPAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Partap Singh and Mr. Sunil Saini,
Advs. with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao (Standing Counsel
(CRL)) with Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya,
Ms. Priyam Agarwal and Mr. Aryan Sachdeva,
Advs.

ASI Sushma, PS Uttam Nagar and SI Rajesh
Kumar, PS Najafgarh.

Mr. Kapish Yadav, Adv. for R-2 with R-2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

28.08.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 317/2024 registered at Police Station Najafgarh on 19.09.2024, for offences punishable under Sections 74/79/351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNS").
2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner allegedly attempted to establish physical relations with respondent no. 2, and upon her refusal, she was assaulted and threatened by the petitioner.



3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Settlement Agreement dated 11.04.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-2. *Qua* this agreement, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 317/2024 registered at Police Station Najafgarh against the petitioner.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the agreement.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Najafgarh. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.
10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.
11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no



useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.317/2024 registered at Police Station – Najafgarh, for offences punishable under Sections 74/79/351(3) of the BNS, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

14. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

AUGUST 28, 2025/AS/yr