



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5654/2025**

K.C. NARAYANA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Ms. Tanya Kaushik and Mr. Keshav Sharma, Advs.**

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with Ms. Archana Joshi, Ms. Sakshi Bansal and Mr. Neeraj Pandey, Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

30.04.2025

CM APPL. 25768/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 5654/2025

3. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following relief:

[a] Issue a writ of certiorari and quash the withdrawal order dated 07.09.2020 passed by the Respondent No.2 vide which the recognition of the Petitioner for B.Ed. Course has been withdrawn; and

[b] Issue a writ of mandamus and direct the Respondent No.2 to issue restoration order in favour of the Petitioner for B.Ed course expeditiously and in a time bound manner; and

4. Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents at the outset submits that against the impugned order



withdrawing recognition, the remedy of appeal is available under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, therefore, the present petition is not maintainable on account of an alternative efficacious statutory remedy being available.

5. Faced with this situation, Mr. Rishabh Kumar the learned counsel for the petitioner college seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to prefer an appeal before the learned Appellate Authority in terms of the statutory provisions.

6. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

7. Needless to say that the petitioner college shall be at liberty to avail the remedies available to it in the event the learned Appellate Authority decides against the petitioner.

APRIL 30, 2025/dss

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J