



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5632/2025**

HARJINDER SINGH AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sanjay Baniwal & Ms. Manisha,
Advts.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Puja S. Kalra, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Virendra Singh, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

21.07.2025

1. Heard the respective learned counsels for some time. Submission is made by learned counsel for petitioners that the petitioners' vending certificate incorporates a condition, to which he objects, in that though he is entitled for stationary vending facility, he is made to be mobile, as could be inferred from *condition no.11*, in regard to which representation is pending for consideration by the respondents.
2. The counsel for the respondents submits that as per the existing policy, the representation referred by the petitioners shall be decided within reasonable period and the decision thereof shall be communicated within a period of eight weeks from today.



3. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

JULY 21, 2025

ab