



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2939/2025**

NARESH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mohit Kaushik, Mr. Suraj Swami
and Ms. Deepika Singh, Advs. with the petitioners
in person

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Adv. along with ACP
Anil Sharma and SI Vijay Maan PS Sarai Rohilla
Respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

04.11.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR no. 120/2019 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for the offences punishable under Sections 323/341/354/509/452/427/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989.

2. The complainant alleged that a quarrel happened between neighbour families, during which certain accused persons entered or came near her house, beat / manhandled her and her family members, damaged flower



pots/property, and used insulting words, including alleged caste-based derogatory abuses, and some accused also outraged the modesty of the complainant. Because of the same, the present FIR came to be registered.

3. It is submitted that after the death of Amit Sharma (accused), the parties amicably resolved the dispute, and both sides have given up their grievances against each other, and respondent no.2 has expressly stated that she has no objection to quashing the FIRs, and both sides have agreed to cooperate for quashing the proceedings in Court as they are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. The death certificate of accused Amit Sharma has been appended with the instant petition.

4. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

5. Learned APP for the State, submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Sarai Rohilla. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

8. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and she does not wish to pursue the present FIR any further as she is now moving towards a peaceful future. Affidavit of



respondent no. 2, thereby stating her no objection to the quashing of the FIR is also on record.

9. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

10. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR no. 120/2019 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for the offences punishable under Sections 323/341/354/509/452/427/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

11. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 4, 2025

Sk/ryp