



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2906/2025, CRL.M.A. 12990/2025
AMAN VERMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Mr. Vinayak Sharma and Mr. Anubhav Saxena, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State.
Mr. Avinash Kumar Tyagi, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

% **10.09.2025**

1. Quashing of an FIR No.0219/24 dated 01.04.2024 lodged at Police Station New Ashok Nagar, Delhi for offences under Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and Section 406 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom, is sought herein, on the basis of compromise deed dated 20.01.2025 executed between the parties.

2. The prosecution case is that Respondent No.2, Prasanna Bhushan, lodged a complaint against the Petitioners alleging persistent dowry demands in connection with the proposed marriage of his daughter Ms. Surbhi Verma with Petitioner No.1, Aman Verma. Subsequently, Petitioner No.3, Manisha Verma also lodged FIR No.0578/24 at P.S. Kotwali against Respondent No.2 and his family, alleging threats and extortion attempts following the cancellation of the marriage.

2.1 During the pendency of the present FIR, with the intervention of



family and well-wishers, the parties have amicably resolved their disputes through a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 20.01.2025, agreeing to withdraw all cases against each other and to cooperate in seeking quashing of both FIRs, *ibid*.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that pursuant to this MoU, the petitioner has paid a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the complainant, who has further filed an affidavit (Annexure-D) expressing no desire to pursue the charges in FIR No.0219/2024.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the offences under Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and Section 406 IPC are non-compoundable. However, in light of the voluntary compromise and settlement, the continuation of proceedings would serve no useful purpose, and constitute an abuse of the process of law. Since no chargesheet has yet been filed, allowing the investigation to proceed would unnecessarily consume valuable time of both the investigating agency and the court, while the petitioners have already suffered considerably.

5. Learned counsel places reliance on a decision of Supreme Court in the case of ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr. : (2012) 10 SCC 303***. He urges that the impugned FIR and all consequential proceedings may be quashed on the basis of compromise.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-complainant and learned Public Prosecutor concur with the fact of compromise and submit that in view of the compromise, they have no objection if the FIR in question is quashed.

7. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent no. 2 as well as perused the material available on record.



8. The parties appear in person and have been identified by their respective counsels. They submit that in the larger interest of maintaining their cordial relations in future also coupled with the fact that the FIR resulted due to heated altercations and miscommunication caused by serious misunderstanding and not realising the harsh and the adverse consequences of the criminal provisions invoked for which, subsequently, they regretted, therefore, both of them would request that the FIR be quashed.

9. It is further thus stated that the present FIR was registered in the heat of moment. Subsequently, they have buried their hatchet and have entered into a compromise, pursuant to which, they wish to enjoy their cordiality with each other.

10. In the premise, applying the ratio in decision of ***Gian Singh (Supra)***, I deem it just and proper to invoke inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. (now Section 528 of BNSS) to avoid undue hardship to the private parties for mutual good relations and societal peace.

11. Accordingly, petition is allowed. FIR No.0219/24. dated 01.04.2024, lodged at Police Station New Ashok Nagar, Delhi for offences under 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and Section 406 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom, against the petitioners, are hereby quashed.

12. The petition stands disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025

acm