



\$~2 & 3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 251/2020**

ISHAN GOEL

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajeev Aggarwal, Adv.
versus

PAWAN KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. R.K. Nain and Mr.
Chandan Prajapati, Adv.

3

+ **FAO 151/2023**

PAWAN KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. R.K. Nain and Mr.
Chandan Prajapati, Adv.

versus

ISHAN GOEL

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajeev Aggarwal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

%

09.05.2025

1. The hearing is being conducted through hybrid mode.

**CM APPL. 22724/2025 – BY PARTIES SEEKING DISPOSAL
OF APPEAL IN TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT in
FAO 251/2020**

**CM APPL. 22727/2025 – BY PARTIES SEEKING DISPOSAL
OF APPEAL IN TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT DT.
28.03.2025 in FAO 151/2023**

2. These applications have been filed by the applicant/Ishan Goel, who happens to be the employer. It is brought out that the parties have amicably resolved their disputes in terms of the agreement executed between the parties on 28.03.2025 before the Samadhan, Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

*This is a digitally signed order. 3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant has alluded to
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.*

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:37:31



Clause (3) of the agreement and it is stated that the co-operation of the respondent/workman is required for quashing of the FIR No. 301/2016 dated 19.07.2016, under Section 287/338 of the IPC

4. It is however stated that respondent/workman is not cooperating.

5. Mr. R.K. Nain, learned counsel for the respondent/workman submits that he is ready and willing to cooperate with the employer and that the workman would appear in the proceedings for quashing of the FIR No. 301/2016, as and when the same is instituted and he is issued notice either by the Court or through the employer and/or his counsel.

6. In view of the above, the present appeals are disposed of, as compromised.

7. It is provided that the parties shall remain bound by the statement. It is also provided that only after the quashing of the FIR No. 301/2016 by the Court, the entire amount of compensation, which is lying with the learned Commissioner shall be released to the respondent/workman.

8. The next date, i.e., 24.07.2025 stands cancelled.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

MAY 09, 2025/sp/ss