



\$~104

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 672/2025**

GURINA BAJAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Raghuveer Kapur and Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR THE COMMISSIONER OF MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, ASC for MCD with Mr. Shivansh Gupta, Advocate. Ms. Madhu Sudan, Mr. Vikhyat Oberoi, Mr. Ankit Kakkar, Ms. Shreya and Mr. Fazal Haroou, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

17.09.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Sections 12/2(b) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers:

- “a) Initiate Contempt proceedings against the Respondent No. 1 for willful and blatant disobedience of the Order dated 14.12.2023 passed by this Hon’ble Court in W.P. (C) No. 16008/2023;
- b) Summon and punish the Respondent/Contemnor under Section 2(b) r/w section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971;
- c) Issue directions for the formation of High-Level Committee to investigate the non-compliance of the Order dated 14.12.2024 by the Respondent No. I and take action against the concerned officials



of MCD for their failure to act;

d) In the alternative, direct the Respondent No. I/ Contemnor to purge their contempt by acting in compliance of Order dated 14.12.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ Petition being W.P. (C) NO. 16008/2023 titled "Gurina Bajaj v. Municipal Corporation of Delhi and Anr." as detailed in the present Contempt Petition;

And/ Or

e) Pass any such further and other order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the light of justice."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.
4. Leave granted.
5. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn and disposed of accordingly.
6. Pending application(s), if any, also disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2025/bsr