



\$~115

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1388/2025**

NAWAB SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Harsh Solanki, Adv
versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC with
Ms. Amisha Gupta, Adv for State.
Mr. Pankaj Balayan with Mr. Akash
Lakra & Mr. Amit Khatri, Advs for
all the respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

19.11.2025

CRL.M.A. 12946/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1388/2025

3. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 208/2025 under Sections 110/333/3(5) BNS 2023 registered at PS Narela District Outer North Delhi and all criminal proceedings emanating therefrom on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.
4. Issue notice.
5. Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, learned ASC for the State accepts notice.
6. Petitioners and respondent Nos. 2 & 3 are present in Court and they have been identified by their respective counsel, as well as, by the



Investigating Officer.

7. The case set out in the present petition is that a scuffle took place between the petitioners and respondents nos. 2 & 3 which escalated to a fight, in which respondent No.2 & 3 were injured.

8. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the injury is simple in nature and no weapon was recovered in the present matter. This position is also affirmed by learned APP on instructions from the IO who is present in Court.

9. The respondent nos.2 & 3, on a query posed by the Court, affirm the factum of settlement and they state that they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed.

10. At this stage, apt would it be to refer to the observations of the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303: (SCC p. 340, para 58)***

“58. Where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.”

12. In view of the settlement between the parties, the ultimate chances of conviction in the case are bleak, therefore, continuation of criminal proceedings will not serve any useful purpose and it will be an exercise in futility.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.



14. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No.208/2025 under Sections 110/333/3(5) of BNS,2023 registered at Police Station Narela District North Delhi alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed.

15. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

16. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2025

sk