



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1387/2025**

AHMED ADEIL & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Abhir Datt, Mr. Vaibhav Sharma
and Mr. Anant Gupta, Advocates with
Petitioners.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC (Crl.) for the
State with Mr. Mathew M. Philip, Mr.
Sangeet Sibou and Mr. Aniket Kumar
Singh, Advocates with SI Rahul
Kumar, PS New Friends Colony.
Counsel for R2 (appearance not
given)
Complainant is present (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

13.05.2025

%

1. The Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*) (Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973), has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 0166/2025 dated 18.04.2025 under Section 140(3)/115(2)/127(2)/351(2)/3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station New Friends Colony, New Delhi and the consequent proceedings emanating thereto.

2. Issue Notice.



3. Mr. Rahul Tyagi, learned ASC appearing on advance Notice, accepts Notice on behalf of the State.

4. Brief facts of the case are that the Respondent No. 2/Complainant and the Petitioner No. 3 are the real brothers and Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are friends of the Petitioner No. 3. It is stated that on 24.03.2025, the Respondent No. 2/Complainant received a call from his father, who informed that his other son i.e. Petitioner No. 2, had issued threats concerning the Respondent No. 2, who was then residing in Dubai, U.A.E. On 25.03.2025, upon his father's advice, Respondent No. 2 along with his wife returned to Delhi. It is alleged that on 26.03.2025, Petitioners abducted the Respondent No. 2 from the Red Light near Sarai Julena, New Friends Colony and taken him to Dadri, Greater Noida where he was allegedly kept in confinement and mercilessly beaten. Thereafter, Petitioner No. 3 sent location of the Respondent No. 2 from the phone of the Respondent No. 2 and asked to transfer one floor of their house in his name and also demanded a sum of Rs.50 Lakhs for safe release of the Respondent No. 2. Thereafter, his father along with his cousins arrived at the spot and took away the Respondent No. 2.

5. It is further submitted that on 18.04.2025, on the Complaint of the Respondent No. 2, an FIR No. 0166/2025 dated 18.04.2025 under Section 140(3)/115(2)/127(2)/351(2)/3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 got registered at Police Station New Friends Colony, New Delhi.

6. It is further stated that the Petitioner and the Respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them and arrived at Settlement dated 23.04.2025. Despite the Settlement, Petitioners and the Respondent No. 2 later came to know that the said FIR had already been



registered, which was not within the knowledge of the parties at the relevant time.

7. It is further asserted that with the intervention of the families, the parties again entered into a formal Settlement *vide* Compromise Deed dated 25.04.2025 and agreed to file a Petition for quashing of the said FIR. In terms of the Settlement, the Statement of the parties have already been recorded. Hence, the present Petition has been filed.

8. The parties are present before this Court in-person today and have been identified by their learned Counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable Settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily. The parties have undertaken to remain bound by the terms of the Settlement.

9. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Compromise Deed dated 25.04.2025 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

10. The present Petition has been signed by the Petitioners and is supported by their Affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at *vide* Compromise Deed dated and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties, without any pressure and coercion.

11. Today, the Respondent No. 2/Complainant, who is present in the Court, states that he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

12. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in



the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the aforesaid FIR in question.

13. Accordingly, FIR No. 0166/2025 dated 18.04.2025 under Section 140(3)/115(2)/127(2)/351(2)/3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station New Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

14. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 25.04.2025 and the submissions made by the parties, the Petition is disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 13, 2025/RS