



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10086/2022, CM APPL. 29334/2022

**ALL DELHI AUTO TAXI TRANSPORT CONGRESS
UNION(REGD.)**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shalini Bhardwaj, Mr. Aditya
Sharma, Advocates (M:7895664246)
versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Virender Pratap Singh Charak,
Ms. Shubhra Parashar, Mr.
Pushpender Pratap Singh,
Advocates
for UOI along with Mr. Rahul
Kashyap, from Northern Railways.
(M:9891695077)

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA**

**ORDER
21.05.2025**

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking directions to the respondents for quashing the impugned letter dated 21st September, 2020, issued by the respondent no. 2, i.e., Ministry of Railways and the subsequent Declaration Form by way of which, the parking rates for taxi (Kali Peeli) at Hazrat Nizamuddin Railway Station, was revised.
2. The impugned letter issued by the Northern Railways shows that the parking charges for the various vehicles, including taxi (Kali Peeli), were fixed as follows:-



S. No.	Vehicles	Monthly Charges/Rates		
		(A-1 & A) Category	(B) Category	Other Category Stations
1.	Auto Rickshaw/Three Wheelers	₹ 600	₹ 400	₹ 250
2.	E-Rickshaw	₹ 400	₹ 300	₹ 200
3.	Rickshaw (pulled by person)	₹ 200	₹ 150	₹ 125
4.	Taxi (Kali-Pilee)/Cabs	₹ 1000	₹ 750	₹ 500
5.	Bus	₹ 2000	₹ 1500	₹ 1000

3. The present petition has been filed by the taxi unions of various railway stations, including the petitioner's association, representing Hazrat Nizamuddin Railway Station, on the ground that the respondents have arbitrarily revised and fixed the parking charges for the taxis at the railway stations.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that an affidavit dated 24th March, 2025 has been filed on behalf of the Northern Railways.

5. At the outset, this Court notes that the affidavit, which is on record and has been filed before this Court is incomplete. Thus, learned counsel appearing for the respondent -Northern Railways has handed over a fresh copy of the affidavit containing the complete set of the affidavit, which is taken on a record.

6. As per the said affidavit, new rates have now been revised, as per which, the parking charges for the taxi (Kali Peeli) have now been reduced to Rs. 400, instead of Rs. 1000, which was being charged earlier.

7. The new revision of rates/ charges is reproduced as under:-



New Revision of Rates /Charges

SN	Types of Vehicles	Monthly Charges/ Rates					
		(A-1 & A) category stations		(B) Category Stations		Other Category Stations	
		Existing Rate (In Rs.)	Revised Rate (In Rs.)	Existing Rate (In Rs.)	Revised Rate (In Rs.)	Existing Rate (In Rs.)	Revised Rate (In Rs.)
1	Auto Rickshaw/ 3-Wheelers	600	200	400	150	250	125
2	E-rickshaw	400	200	300	150	200	125
	Rickshaw Pulled by person	200	150	150	125	125	100
4	Taxi (Kali-Pilee)/ Cabs	1000	400	750	300	500	150
5	Bus	2000	800	1500	600	1000	500

8. Considering the affidavit of the respondent-Railways, this Court notes that the very premise, on which the present petition was filed, no longer exists.

9. Accordingly, noting the aforesaid, the grievance of the petitioner in the present petition, stands satisfied.

10. The present writ, petition along with the pending application, is disposed of.



11. It is further directed that the taxi drivers shall be allowed to park their allocated taxi lanes.

MAY 21, 2025/neha

MINI PUSHKARNA, J