



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.L.P. 345/2019, CRL.M.A. 11346/2019

THE STATEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP

versus

BHARATRespondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **29.10.2025**

CRL.L.P. 345/2019

1. An Application under Section 378(1) of Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner/State challenging the impugned Judgement and Order dated 20.12.2018 passed by learned M.M, Rohini in case FIR No.883/2015 under Section 354A/354D/323/341/506 IPC registered at Police Station Aman Vihar, Delhi.

2. It is submitted that the impugned Judgment is illegal and arbitrary in nature and contrary to the law laid down by the Apex Court as well as this Court in such cases. It is further submitted that the testimony of the victim was not appreciated in the right perspective. PW1 in his statement has correctly identified the accused being the person following, teasing and threatening her, but the same has not been considered in the impugned Judgment. It is, therefore, prayed that the Leave Petition may be allowed.

3. **Submissions heard.**

4. The Leave Petition is allowed and accordingly stands disposed of.

CRL. A. _____/2025 (To be numbered by the Registry)

5. Arguments heard.



6. Reserved for Judgment.

OCTOBER 29, 2025/va

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.