



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 386/2025 & I.A. 10565/2025 I.A. 13842/2025**

METIS EDUVENTURES PRIVATE LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Swapnil Gaur, Advocate

versus

TELEGRAM FZ LLC & ANR.Defendants

Through: Mr. Madhav Khosla, Adv. for D-1

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **22.12.2025**

I.A. 13842/2025

1. Mr. Madhav Khosla, learned counsel appearing on behalf of defendant no. 1, states that he has instructions to submit that the metadata is unavailable with defendant no. 1, and it is not possible for defendant no. 1 to reinstate the handles/channels of the plaintiff.

2. The said statement is taken on record, and the application is disposed of.

CS(COMM) 386/2025

3. The plaintiff and defendant no. 1 state that the suit can be disposed of in terms of paragraph '25' of the order dated 28.04.2025, binding defendant no.1 to the arrangement recorded therein. Paragraph 25 reads as under:

“25. Upon being provided the said material, the defendant no.1 shall take down the URLs which are infringing the plaintiff's copyrighted content. In respect of the URLs for which the defendant no.1 is of the view that the plaintiff has not provided sufficient supporting material, the defendant no,1 shall inform the



plaintiff. Thereupon, the plaintiff shall be at liberty to approach this Court to obtain reliefs.”

4. The statement of defendant no. 1 is taken on record, and defendant no. 1 is bound down to the mechanism set out in paragraph 25.
5. Learned counsel for the plaintiff states that defendant no. 1 is obligated in law to act upon any reporting made by the plaintiff within 36 hours as per law. She states that the said turnaround time should also be made part of this order.
6. Accordingly, in addition to directions at paragraph 25 extracted above, the defendant no. 1 is directed to act on the complaint filed by the plaintiff within 36 hours.
7. The suit is decreed in favour of the plaintiff in terms of this order, and defendant no. 1 is bound down to the same.
8. In view of the fact that defendant no. 2 is a John Doe defendant and the Plaintiff is not pressing any reliefs against the said defendant, defendant no. 2 is accordingly deleted from the array of parties.
9. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.
10. All future dates stand cancelled.
11. The digitally signed copy of this order, duly uploaded on the official website of the Delhi High Court, www.delhihighcourt.nic.in, shall be treated as a certified copy of the order for the purpose of ensuring compliance. No physical copy of order shall be insisted by any authority/entity or litigant

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 22, 2025/hp