



\$~CO.6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CO.A(SB) 1/2025**

BHAGWAN DEWANI

.....Appellant

Through:

versus

OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Ruchi Sindhwani, Sr. Standing
Counsel with Ms. Megha Bharara,
Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **25.04.2025**

CO.APPL. 323/2025 [Exemption]

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

CO.A(SB) 1/2025

3. The present appeal has been filed under Rule 164 read with Rule 9 of the Company (Court) Rules, 1959 seeking to challenge a notice/communication dated 20.06.2022 passed by the office of the Official Liquidator. By the said Notice, the claim of the Appellant was rejected for non-production of original documents. The limited grievance of the Appellant was that he did not have the original documents at that time, however, now the original document are in his power and possession.
4. Learned counsel for the Appellant also submits that the original documents were never asked for.



5. Learned counsel for the Official Liquidator submits that no claim is allowed without production of the original documents, however, and in the interest of expediency, in the event that the Appellant appears before the office of the Official Liquidator within the next two weeks with all original documents, the claim of the Appellant shall be examined.
6. The learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that the Appeal can be disposed of in terms of the said statement made by the Official Liquidator.
7. Accordingly, the Appeal is disposed of.
8. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

APRIL 25, 2025/gs

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)