



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2813/2025

MAHIMA BHARTI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mohd. Khadim Khan and
Mr. Rajendra Kumar, Advs.
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Mr. Ashish Mahani and Ms. Divya Bakshi, Advs.
SI Vijay Maan, PS Sarai Rohilla
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv. for R-2 & 3
R-2 & 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

17.12.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR No. 251/2014 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for the offences punishable under Sections 147/148/149/323/341/308/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 17.03.2014 at about 9:30 PM, at Daya Basti Jhuggi, RPF Line, Sarai Rohilla, Delhi, respondent no. 2 allegedly objected to loud music being played by petitioner no. 3 outside his



residence on the occasion of Holi. It is alleged that upon a verbal altercation, the others petitioners assaulted respondent no. 2, causing him injuries with hands and alleged weapons such as iron rods and a hammer. Pursuant thereto, the present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent nos. 2 & 3 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Compromise deed dated 22.11.2024 is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure-4”. *Qua* this deed, the respondent nos. 2 & 3 have agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 251/2014 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla against the petitioners.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Sarai Rohilla. Respondent nos. 2 & 3 are also present in the Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent nos. 2 & 3 have categorically stated that they have entered into compromise on their own



free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by them that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioners and respondent nos. 2 & 3 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties are neighbours and they have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303***, FIR No. 251/2014 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for the offences punishable under Sections 147/148/149/323/341/308/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

14. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

DECEMBER 17, 2025/AS/yr