



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1294/2025 & CRL.M.A. 12213/2025**

MOHIT DAHIYA AND ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Ravin Rao, Mr. Pallav
Gupta, Ms. Aarushi Jain,
Mr. Yashasvi Yadav &
Ms. Jannat Garg, Advs.
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI)
AND ANRRespondents
Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing
Counsel for the State
Inspector Rohit
Mr. Ayan Sharma, Adv.
for R2
R2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **19.08.2025**

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 99/2013 dated 27.08.2013, registered at Police Station Mourice Nagar, for offences under Sections 323/341/342/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
2. It is alleged that an altercation took place between the petitioners and Respondent No. 2, after Respondent No. 2 refused to support the petitioners in the ongoing college elections.
3. It is alleged that the petitioners started beating Respondent No. 2 with chairs and iron rods kept outside the college premises. Pursuant to a complaint given by Respondent No.2 the present FIR was registered.



4. The present petition is filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes by way of Compromise Deed dated 24.01.2025, out of their own free will, without any coercion, undue influence, pressure or threat.
5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties are known to each other.
6. He submits that the petitioners have tendered their unconditional apology for their behaviour. He further submits that the parties have amicably resolved their disputes and wish to live their lives peacefully in the future.
7. He further submits that the parties being neighbours, have decided to bury their disputes and live peacefully in future.
8. The parties are present in person in Court and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.
9. The petitioners undertake not to indulge in any such activity in future.
10. The petitioners further undertake that they will not harass Respondent No. 2 in any manner whatsoever.
11. Respondent No. 2, on being asked, state that he is satisfied with the apology tendered by the petitioners. He states that he does not wish to pursue any proceeding arising out of the present FIR and have no objection if the same is quashed.
12. Offences under Sections 323/341/342/506 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.
13. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offences.
14. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no



useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of Court.

15. However, keeping in mind the fact that the State machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioners are put to cost.

16. In view of the above, FIR No. 99/2013 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of total cost of ₹25,000/- by the petitioners to be deposited with the Delhi Police Welfare Society within a period of eight weeks.

17. Let the proof of deposit of cost be submitted to the concerned SHO.

18. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms.

19. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

AUGUST 19, 2025

“SS”