



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2741/2025 and CRL.M.A. 12287/2025

RAVAL KRUNAL @ KRUNAL RAVAL AND ORS.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Deepansh Vats, Mr. Rakesh Dabas, Ms. Divya Dabas, Mr. Tushar Dabas, Mr. Anil Yadav and Mr.Imran Ahmed, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the State. R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

08.09.2025

%

1. Petitioners herein seek quashing of FIR No. 410/2018 dated 19.05.2018 lodged under Sections 498A, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Dwarka, Delhi, along with consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise between the parties.
2. Dispute arose from the matrimonial discord between Petitioner No.1 (husband) and complainant/Respondent no.2 (wife). The couple got married on 26.01.2016 according to Hindu rites. No child is born from the wedlock.
3. Petitioner no.2 is the mother-in-law, petitioner no.3 is the father-in-law of the complainant.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* MOU/ Settlement Deed dated



08.08.2024. Learned counsel further submits that the affidavit to the effect of no objection to quashing deposited by respondent No.2/ complainant is also placed on record.

5. In the aforesaid backdrop, I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as perused the material available on record.

6. Respondent no.2 is present in Court, and upon interaction, she candidly submits that the matter has been amicably settled out of her own free will, without any undue influence, coercion, or duress. She further points out that the marriage already stands dissolved by a decree dated 25.01.2025 passed by the competent Family Court, and confirms that petitioner no.1 has fully complied with all terms of the settlement to her complete satisfaction. In view thereof, she states that she has no objection to the quashing of the FIR and does not wish to pursue further proceedings, having resolved to withdraw all charges and allegations against the petitioners.

7. Since the complainant/ wife does not wish to press charges against the petitioners and there is no incriminating material against them coupled with the fact of compromise, further proceedings before the Court would be an abuse of the process of law. Moreover, as the dispute does not involve any public interest or interest of the society at large, continuation of proceedings may rather result in hostility between the parties and defeat the very purpose of settlement.

8. The trial would thus serve no fruitful purpose and will be an exercise in futility. Whereas non-quashing of the FIR would not only amount to misuse of the judicial process, but also defeat the very object of settlement.



9. Therefore, further proceedings deserve to be quashed in exercise of the inherent powers of the Court. Reference in this context may also be made to judgment rendered in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. [(2012) 10 SCC 303]***.

10. Accordingly, in the larger interest of justice, invoking inherent powers vested with this Court under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 the FIR No.410/2018 dated 19.05.2018 lodged under Sections 498A, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Dwarka, Delhi and all other proceedings arising there from are quashed.

11. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 8, 2025
kd