



\$~79

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9176/2022 & CM APPL. 27576/2022**

**HARSHAL DILIP KATE**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Damini Chawla and Mr.  
Anshuman, Advocates.

versus

**INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI THROUGH ITS  
DIRECTOR AND ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Arjun Mitra, Advocate for  
Respondents.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH**

**ORDER**

**22.12.2025**

%

1. This writ petition is filed on behalf of the Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:-

*“(i) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction by which the Student Grievance Redressal Committee is directed to share its report with the Petitioner; and/or*

*(ii) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ, order or direction by which the Institute Level Plagiarism Committee is directed to decide upon the complaints of the Petitioner dated 02.11.2021, 06.11.2021, 11.01.2022 and 28.01.2022 in a timely manner following the principles of natural justice and/ or;*

*(iii) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other writ, order or direction quashing the notification dated 28.01.2022 whereby the Petitioner's PhD registration was illegally terminated.”*

2. On direction of the Court, Mr. Arjun Mitra, learned counsel appearing for the Respondents has handed over the Report of the ‘Institute Level



Standing Committee for Prevention/Investigation of the Plagiarism related matters in Court'. Court has perused relevant part of the report. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner has seen the report and after perusal and on instructions, she seeks to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to take recourse to other appropriate remedies.

3. Writ petition along with pending application is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for, in accordance with law.
4. Report has been handed over to Mr. Mitra at the end of hearing.

**JYOTI SINGH, J**

**DECEMBER 22, 2025**

*S.Sharma*