



\$~263

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5109/2025, CM APPLs. 23359/2025, 23360/2025**

RAVI GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Aggarwal, Ms. Rekha
Panwar and Mr. Kanhaiya, Advocates.

versus

NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Mananjay Mishra, Mr. Bhanu Raj,
Advocates for NDMC.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

%

22.04.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPLs. 23359-60/2025 (for exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Applications are disposed of.

W.P.(C) 5109/2025

3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* seeking to permit him to participate in the Survey conducted by the Town Vending Committee (hereinafter 'TVC').

4. The Petitioner claims to be an existing vendor on Gate No. 4, Palika Bazaar, Connaught Place, New Delhi. It is the case of the Petitioner that he was vending prior to the year 2014. However he is stated to have been removed in the year 2021, when Palika Bazaar was declared as a 'No Vending' zone. Reliance is placed upon certain challans *etc.*, which have been issued by the New Delhi Municipal Corporation (hereinafter 'NDMC') prior



to the year 2014.

5. Ld. Counsel for the NDMC submits that the usual procedure would be for the Petitioner to approach the TVC first in order to establish his credentials. The documents would then be verified by the TVC and then the Petitioner would be permitted to participate in the survey.

6. Ld. Counsel for the Respondent also disputes that the Petitioner is an existing vendor as the earliest challan placed on record is of the year 2015.

7. The Court has heard the parties. Since the survey is ongoing, let the Petitioner's documents be verified by the TVC and if the Petitioner's documents are found to be credible, then he shall be permitted to participate in the survey.

8. The petition is disposed of in the above terms. Pending applications, if any, are also disposed of.

9. It is made clear that this Court has not verified the genuinity of the documents which have been filed by the Petitioner.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J.

APRIL 22, 2025/PB/Ar.