



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1534/2025**

SACHIN SOLANKI @VISHNU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Praveen K. Chauhan, Mr. Anil Kumar, Mr. D.P Singh and Mr. Garvita Verma, Advocates

versus

STATE (GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with Insp. Murtuja Khan and Insp. Tej Dutt Gaur

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **03.11.2025**

1. First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Applicant-Sachin Solanki @ Vishnu for grant of Regular Bail for in FIR No. 0319/2020 under Sections 302/34 IPC, registered at P.S. Nabi Karim.
2. It is submitted that the Accused was arrested on 13.11.2020 and is in judicial custody since then. The Chargesheet has been filed in February, 2021 against the Applicant and 03 other co-accused, namely, Sandeep, Rahul and Vishal. Charges under Sections 302/34 IPC were framed against them all on 06.05.2022.
3. The Prosecution witnesses are 39, out of which, 15 have been recorded. The co-accused Sandeep has been granted Bail by this Court. The other two co-accused have already been enlarged on Bail. A prayer is,



therefore, made that he be also admitted to Bail.

4. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner has pointed out that all the public witnesses have failed to identify the Accused. He is in judicial custody for the last 05 years. Material witnesses have been recorded. The other co-accused have been granted Bail. Hence, the Bail be granted to the Applicant.

5. ***Learned APP for the State*** has contested the Bail Application on the ground that in the CCTV footage, Applicant can be seen wearing the same clothes from which the blood of the deceased, has been detected. The weapon of the offence was recovered at his instance. The Doctor has opined that it may have been the weapon of offence. DNA Report has also supports the case of the Prosecution.

6. It is further submitted that no parity can be claimed with the other co-accused as he was the main perpetrator, who had inflicted the stab injuries on the deceased. The Bail is therefore, opposed.

Submissions heard and record perused.

7. The Applicant is in judicial custody since 13.11.2020. As per the testimony of the public witnesses, the Accused had covered their face with *gamcha*. They have all been examined and have failed to support the case of the Prosecution. Pertinently, out of 39 Prosecution witnesses, only 15 have been recorded till date. The evidence that remains is essentially documentary/scientific.

8. Considering the long incarceration and that the other co-accused have been admitted to Bail and material public witnesses have already been recorded, the Applicant is admitted to Bail, subject to the following terms and conditions:-

a) The Applicant/Accused shall furnish a personal bond of



Rs.35,000/- and one Surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

b) The Applicant/Accused shall appear before the Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing;

c) The Applicant/Accused shall provide his mobile number/changed mobile number to the IO concerned which shall be kept in working condition at all times;

d) The Applicant/Accused shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not communicate or intimidate the witnesses.

e) In case the Applicant/Accused changes his residential address, the same shall be intimated to learned Trial Court and to the concerned I.O.

9. Copy of this Order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent, as well as, to the learned Trial Court for information and compliance.

10. The Bail Application is accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2025

N