



\$~6 to 8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1532/2025**

MINI MITTAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Narula and Mr. Himanshu
Makkar, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State.
Insp. Vinit Kumar, DIU/ South Distt.
Mr. Rajeev Sirohi, Mr. Puneet Narula
and Mr. Prakhar Londhe, Advocates
for Complainant.
Complainant in person.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1539/2025**

RAJ KUMAR GOEL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Narula and Mr. Himanshu
Makkar, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State.
Insp. Vinit Kumar, DIU/ South Distt.
Mr. Rajeev Sirohi, Mr. Puneet Narula
and Mr. Prakhar Londhe, Advocates
for Complainant.
Complainant in person.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1561/2025**

RAJNI GOEL

.....Petitioner



Through: Mr. Sunil Narula and Mr. Himanshu Makkar, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State.
Insp. Vinit Kumar, DIU/ South Distt.
Mr. Rajeev Sirohi, Mr. Puneet Narula
and Mr. Prakhar Londhe, Advocates
for Complainant.
Complainant in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

29.05.2025

1. Through the aforesaid applications, the Applicants seek grant of pre-arrest bail in the proceedings arising from FIR No. 141/2025 registered at P.S. Malviya Nagar, under Sections 127(2), 351(2) and 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. The dispute arises from a complaint made by Mr. Param Preet Singh Ahuja against the Applicants, alleging that the parties had entered into a Memorandum of Understanding¹ dated 28th September, 2023 wherein the Complainant provided financial assistance of INR 2.5 Crores to the Applicants in exchange of property rights in property No. 163, Satya Niketan, New Moti Bagh-II, New Delhi-110021. However, the Applicants did not comply with the terms of the MoU, thereby committing cheating and criminal breach of trust.
3. On 24th April, 2025, Mr. Raj Kumar Goel, the Applicant in BAIL



APPLN. 1539/2025, had expressed his willingness to resolve the matter amicably. As a result, the parties in the above-captioned applications were referred to the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

4. The mediation proceedings have now culminated successfully, resulting in an amicable resolution of the disputes between the parties. A copy of the Settlement Agreement dated 28th May, 2025, executed by the parties, has been duly placed on the record of this Court. In fact, in terms of the said settlement, the parties have mutually agreed to file a joint petition seeking quashing of the impugned FIR. In accordance with the terms of the settlement, the cheques issued for making payment of the agreed amount have been handed over to the Complainant.

5. In light of the above development, considering that the parties have amicably resolved their dispute, the present applications are disposed of with a direction that the Applicants, in the event of arrest, will be released on bail on furnishing a bail bond for a sum of ₹25,000/- each, with one surety each, of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO, on the following conditions:

- a. The Applicants shall join and cooperate in the investigation as and when directed by the IO;
- b. The Applicants shall not leave the boundaries of the country without informing the IO/ SHO concerned;
- c. The Applicants shall not contact the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner;
- d. The Applicants shall give their mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep their mobile phones switched on at all times;

¹ “MoU”



6. In the event of there being any FIR/DD entry/complaint lodged against the Applicants, it would be open to the State to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of bail.
7. It is clarified that any observations made in the present order are for the purpose of deciding the present bail applications, and should not influence the outcome of the trial and also not be taken as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
8. The applications are allowed in the afore-mentioned terms.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MAY 29, 2025

d.negi