



\$~3

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

W.P.(C) 5622/2024

SMT. RENUKA DESHWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Shiva Nand Mishra, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Sandeep Kumar Mahapatra,  
Mr.Tribhuvan, Mr.Ishaan  
Malhotra, Advs. for R-1-2.  
Mr.Hanu Bhaskar, CGSC with  
Mr.Aakash Singh, Advs. for  
R-3 to 5.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR**

**ORDER**

%

**25.03.2025**

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to seek a direction to the respondents to decide the petitioner's representation dated 02.09.2014.
2. The learned counsel for the respondent nos.3 to 5 submits that the representation of the petitioner has already been rejected *vide* an Order dated 30.10.2014. He has handed over a copy of the said Order. The same is taken on record. Let a copy thereof be supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner at the E-mail address given in the index of the paper book.
3. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail of her remedies in accordance with law. We, however, taking into account that the petitioner had earlier



filed an O.A. before the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, which came to be dismissed for want of jurisdiction, *vide* order dated 13.10.2023, and, therefore, filed a Writ Petition, being W.P. (C) 3196/2024, which was withdrawn by the petitioner on 04.03.2024, whereafter the present petition was filed, make it clear that in such proceedings, the mere communication of the rejection order will not give a further cause of action to the petitioner.

**NAVIN CHAWLA, J**

**RENU BHATNAGAR, J**

**MARCH 25, 2025**  
**RN/DG**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*