



\$~55

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2623/2025 & CRL.M.A. 11765/2025

**YUVRAJ @ BABA**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saurabh and Mr. Rahul Gahlot,  
Advs.

Petitioner in person.

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND  
ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State.  
SI Vinod Kumar, PS Palam Village  
Respondent no.2 in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL**

**ORDER**

% **25.09.2025**

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No.466/2018 registered at Police Station – Palam Village on 08.12.2018, for offences punishable under Sections 308/323/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that respondent no. 2 had lent ₹2,000/- to the petitioner. On 08.12.2018, when he demanded repayment, the petitioner returned ₹1000/- in the morning and assured to pay the balance by evening. However, at about 7:30 PM the same day, when respondent no. 2



was standing outside his house, petitioner along with his friend Himanshu picked up a quarrel with him. During the altercation, petitioner kicked respondent no. 2 causing him to fall, and Himanshu attacked him repeatedly with an ice-cutting needle, inflicting injuries. The petitioner then fled, and respondent no. 2 was taken to Bhagat Chandra Hospital, where medical examination revealed multiple sharp perforating injuries, resulting in filing of the present FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner and the nature of injury is opined to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated for the injuries suffered by him by the petitioner.

4. Memorandum of Settlement (hereinafter “MoS”) dated 13.02.2025 is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure P-3”. *Qua* this MoS, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 466/2018 registered at Police Station – Palam Village against the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that respondent no. 2 has settled all his disputes with the petitioner for a sum of ₹2,00,000/-.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the



petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Palam Village. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the counsel and the Investigating Officer.

10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise on his own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by him that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. As per the MoS, respondent no. 2 has received the entire settled amount.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Further in view of the fact that the parties have arrived at settlement, the chances of ultimate conviction of the accused persons are also bleak.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.466/2018 registered at Police Station – Palam Village, for offences punishable under



Sections 308/323/201/34 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

15. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**AJAY DIGPAUL, J**

**SEPTEMBER 25, 2025/AS/dd**