



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 586/2025**

KIRAN JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Romy Chacko, Sr. Advocate and
Mr. Joe Sebastian, Advocate

versus

DHARMENDRA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing
Counsel for GNCTD with Mr. Nitesh
Kumar Singh, Ms. Laavanya
Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr.
Mohnish Sehrawat and Mr. Amitoj
Chadha, Advs.
Mr. Vitender K Dahiya, Legal Asstt.
For DOE.
Mr. Amit Dayal, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

22.05.2025

%

1. Compliance affidavit has been filed by the respondent noting that petitioner has joined the services on 3rd May 2025.
2. Counsel for petitioner, however, raises a pertinent issue that judgment in their favour was delivered on 10th October 2023, where the following directions were passed:



62. The Appellant / Ms. Kiran Jain shall be entitled for appointment by virtue of the appointment letter dated 18.12.2017 and shall be treated in service from the date she reported on duty i.e., 16.01.2018. The Appellant shall also be entitled for seniority, notional fixation of salary, increments and all consequential benefits except backwages. The Respondent GNCTD / DoE is also directed to release the grant-in-aid in respect of the post in question.

3. Thereafter, petitioner filed an SLP in 2024, which was finally dismissed by the Supreme Court on 22nd October 2024.
4. Thereafter, still no compliance was made and they had to move this contempt petition, considering wilful disobedience of respondent. Thereafter, almost close to 2 years, compliance has been made.
5. Petitioner seeks compensation/back wages, for delay of salary which would have been paid.
6. Petitioner is always at liberty to seek appropriate remedy before the Writ Court seeking compensation/back wages for the delay caused.
7. This petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner for this purpose.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 22, 2025/sm/bp