



\$~O-59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 639/2025**

SH.SUNDER LAL GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manoj Chauhan, Mr. Ujjwal
Singh Parmar and Ms. Neha Raj
Singh, Advs.

versus

**M/S SAHYOG HOSPITALITY THROUGH ITS PARTNERS &
ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Vijay Kasana, Mr. Vishal
Chaudhary and Mr. Chirag Verma,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **15.05.2025**

1. It is seen that both the parties have nominated their respective arbitrators. The petitioner has appointed Hon'ble Mr. Justice Raghvendra Singh Chauhan, former Chief Justice of Telangana and Uttarakhand High Court and the respondents have appointed Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dr. Sudhir Kumar Jain, former Judge of the High Court of Delhi.
2. Keeping in mind the nature of the controversy and the issue involved, the Court appoints Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Shakdher, former Chief Justice of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh to be the presiding arbitrator.
3. Accordingly, the Arbitral Tribunal ['AT'] is constituted comprising of Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Shakdher, former Chief Justice of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh (Mobile No. 9717495004, Email:



rajivshakdher62@gmail.com), Hon'ble Mr. Justice Raghvendra Singh Chauhan, former Chief Justice of Telangana and Uttarakhand High Court (Mobile No. +91 7022891674, Email: office.jrsc@gmail.com) and Justice Dr. Sudhir Kumar Jain, former Judge of the High Court of Delhi (Mobile No. +91 9910384693, Email: jainjsudhir@yahoo.co.in).

4. The arbitration would take place under the aegis of the Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) and would abide by its rules and regulations. The AT shall be entitled to fees as per the Schedule of Fees maintained by the DIAC.

5. The AT is also requested to file the requisite disclosure under Section 12 (2) of the Act within a week of entering on reference.

6. The registry is directed to send a receipt of this order to the AT through all permissible modes, including through e-mail.

7. All rights and contentions of the parties in relation to the claims/counter-claims are kept open, to be decided by the AT on their merits, in accordance with law.

8. Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed of

9. *Dasti.*

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J
MAY 15, 2025/P/MJO

Click here to check corrigendum, if any