



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2542/2025**

MANJEET SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ramesh Kumar Sharma,
Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Mr. Sandeep
Bhardwaj, Ms. Priya Tyagi,
Mr. Sudeep Yadav, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
State with SI Dhananjay Gupta, PS
Kirti Nagar.
Mr. T.R. Sandhu, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

30.05.2025

CRL.M.A. 11392/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2542/2025

3. The Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'CrPC'*)/ Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*) has been filed on behalf of the Petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 204/2016 under Section 498A/406/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as 'IPC'*) registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, Delhi and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.



4. Issue Notice.
5. Mr. Yudhvair Singh Chauhan, learned APP appearing on advance Notice, accepts Notice on behalf of the State.
6. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between the Petitioner No. 5 and the Respondent No. 2 on 11.12.2010, according to the Hindu rites and ceremonies and one child, namely, Rudransh was born out of the said wedlock.
7. It is further submitted that Respondent No. 2 was residing separately since 24.11.2015 and on 15.12.2015, on the complaint of the Respondent No. 2, a complaint was lodged with CAW Cell and in pursuance whereof a case *vide* FIR No. 204/2016 under Sections 498A/406/506/34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, Delhi was lodged against the Petitioners. Further, on 22.11.2016, the Respondent No. 2 has filed a complaint under D.V. Act. Petitioner No. 5, husband of the Respondent No. 2 expired on 08.06.2023.
8. It is stated that the Petitioners and the Respondent No. 2 have amicably settled all the disputes and differences between them and arrived at Settlement *vide* Mediated Settlement dated 11.09.2023. In terms of the Mediated Settlement dated 11.09.2023, the Statement of the parties have already been recorded. In the Mediated Settlement, it was *inter alia* settled between the parties that the Respondent No. 2/wife and the Petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent. It is stated that the Petitioners shall pay a sum of Rs.1,20,000/- towards full and final settlement of all the claims of the Respondent No. 2/wife. A demand draft bearing No. 898829 for a sum of Rs.60,000/- drawn on Punjab National Bank has been accepted by the respondent No. 2/ complainant from



petitioners today.

9. It is submitted that these are the disputes that arose after the demise of the husband between the complainant/ Respondent No. 2 and her in-laws/ petitioners. It is further agreed, as per the terms of Settlement that the service benefits of the deceased husband would be equally divided between the mother and minor son of the deceased.

10. In view of the Mediated Settlement dated 11.09.2023, the present Petition has been filed.

11. The parties are present before this Court in-person today and have been identified by their learned counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.

12. The parties have undertaken to remain bound by the terms of the Settlement.

13. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled *vide* Mediated Settlement dated 11.09.2023 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

14. Today, the Respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in the Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her and has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

15. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



16. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the aforesaid FIR in question.

17. Accordingly, FIR No. 204/2016 dated 20.04.2016 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed. However, it is clarified that the said Settlement is without prejudice to the rights of the minor child, in accordance with law.

18. The Petition stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MAY 30, 2025

PB