



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 309/2024**

VE COMMERCIAL VEHICLES LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: **Ms. Sandhya Kukreti, Adv.**

versus

PUNJA SAHIB TRANSPORT CO. & ANR.Defendants

Through: **Ms. Shreya Gagneja, Adv. (Through VC)**

Mr. Jagjit Singh Taneja, D-1 (Through VC)

Mr. Jagdish Kukreja, D-2 (Through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **09.12.2025**

I.A. 30764/2025 (under Order XXIII Rule 3 CPC)

1. The present application has been filed jointly by the parties under Order XXIII Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 [CPC], seeking the disposal of the suit in terms of the Settlement arrived at between the parties.
2. The application is duly signed by the counsel and supported by their affidavits.
3. Mr. Jagjit Singh Taneja and Mr. Jagdish Kukreja have joined the proceedings through video conferencing link and are duly identified by their counsel.
4. Mr. Jagjit Singh Taneja states that he is the proprietor of defendant no. 1 firm. He states that Mr. Praneet Taneja is his son. He states that he has



read the contents of the application and signed the same. He states that he is necessary party. He states that he undertakes to remain bound by the terms of the settlement agreement.

5. In view thereof, Mr. Jagjit Singh Taneja is impleaded as proprietor of defendant no.1 on the oral request of the parties. The plaintiff is directed to place on record the amended memo of parties within one [1] week.

6. Ms. Shreya Gagneja, Advocate, enters appearance on behalf of the defendants. She states she has filed her Vakalatnama during the course of the day.

7. Learned counsel for the parties state that the plaintiff and the defendants decided to settle their dispute amicably and to avoid any further litigation. They state that the parties agree to remain bound by the terms of the settlement.

7.1. They state that the terms of the settlement are more specifically recorded in paragraph '2' of the captioned application.

8. This Court has perused the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement and is satisfied that the compromise between the parties as contained in the captioned application satisfies the requirement of the Order XXIII Rule 3 CPC. The compromise contained in the captioned application is lawful, and therefore, this Court does not find any impediment in disposing of the underlying suit in terms of the aforementioned settlement.

9. The statement and undertakings given by the learned counsel for the parties are accepted by this Court, and the parties are held bound by the same.

10. Consequently, the captioned application is allowed, and the suit is hereby decreed in terms of the conditions agreed between the parties as



recorded in the captioned application. The remaining reliefs in the plaint are disposed of as not pressed.

11. The Registry of this Court is directed to draw a decree in terms of this order. The settlement terms set out in the captioned application shall also form part of the decree.

12. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

13. Future dates shall stand cancelled.

14. The digitally signed copy of this order, duly uploaded on the official website of the Delhi High Court, www.delhihighcourt.nic.in, shall be treated as a certified copy of the order for the purpose of ensuring compliance. No physical copy of order shall be insisted by any authority/entity or litigant.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

DECEMBER 9, 2025/hp/aa