



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2497/2025

SANJAY KUMAR MANJHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akansha Jain, Adv. with the
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State
along with Ms. Puja Mann, Adv. with Insp Narasi
Prasad Meena, PS IGI Airport
Mr. Mayank Arora, Adv. for R-2 with R-2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

%

10.09.2025

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC") read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed for quashing of FIR no. 351/2016, registered at Police Station IGI Airport, for offences punishable under Sections 354/354D/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. Brief facts of the case are that respondent no. 2 is employed at Air India Sats at IGI airport, T-3, and the petitioner herein is the operation manager of the organization. The petitioner engaged in unprofessional conduct and sexual harassment in misuse of his superior position when compared to the position of respondent no. 2, which lead to registration of



FIR no. 351/2016, registered at Police Station IGI Airport, for offences punishable under Sections 354/354D/506/509 of the IPC.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Settlement Deed dated 01.04.2025 (hereinafter “Deed”) is on record and has been annexed as “Annexure B” to the present petition. Through this Deed, the respondents have agreed to settle their disputes with the petitioner. and petitioner has agreed to pay a sum of ₹2,00,000/- to respondent no.2 which is stated to have already been paid in full.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the Settlement Deed.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement which has been arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer, Police Station IGI Airport. The respondents are also present in Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, the respondents have categorically stated that they have entered into compromise on their own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by them that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondents without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR no. 351/2016, registered at Police Station IGI Airport, for offences punishable under Sections 354/354D/506/509 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, stands quashed.

12. The petition, along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2025

Sk/av