



\$~11 & 12

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EFA(OS) (COMM) 2/2025

**RHC HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT  
SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED**

.....Appellant

Through: Ms Diya Kapur, senior advocate with  
Ms Devina Sehgal, Mr Yatharth  
Kansal, Mr Raghav Kumar and Mr  
Aditya Ladha, Advocates.

versus

**DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY LIMITED  
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Arvind Nigam, senior advocate  
with Mr Giriraj Subramaniam, Mr  
Nabik Syam, Ms Samriddhi, Ms  
Anindita, Ms Shyra, Advocates.

AND

+ EFA(OS) (COMM) 3/2025

**RHC HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT SERVICES  
PRIVATE LIMITED**

.....Appellant

Through: Ms Diya Kapur, senior advocate with  
Ms Devina Sehgal, Mr Yatharth  
Kansal, Mr Raghav Kumar and Mr  
Aditya Ladha, Advocates.

versus

**DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY LIMITED  
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Rajiv Nayar, senior advocate with  
Mr Sanjeev Sharma, Ms Sanya Sud,  
Mr Vaibhav Kakkar, Ms Akshita  
Sachdeva and Ms Manjira Dasgupta,  
Advocates.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA**

**ORDER**

**29.04.2025**

%

1. At the outset, Mr Nayyar and Mr Nigam, the learned senior counsel



appearing for the respondents raised the preliminary objection that the present appeals are not maintainable under Section 13(1A) of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015.

2. In view of the aforesaid objections, the learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant seeks to withdraw the present appeals with liberty to avail the remedies in accordance with law.

3. The present appeals are dismissed as withdrawn.

4. We clarify that this order permitting the appellant to withdraw these appeals, will not preclude the appellant from availing its remedies, if any, in accordance with law.

5. Needless to state that interim order, if any, stand vacated.

**VIBHU BAKHRU, J**

**TEJAS KARIA, J**

**APRIL 29, 2025**

**RK**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)