



\$~52

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 616/2024**

**NASEEM AHMED**

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Bharti Garg, Adv. (through VC)**

versus

**SH. SUBHASHISH PANDA AND ANR**

.....Respondent

Through: **Ms. Manika Tripathy, Standing Counsel for DDA.**

**Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing Counsel, Mrs. KK Kiran Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Adv. for R-2/LAC.**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

%

**20.05.2025**

1. *Ms. Bharti Garg*, Advocate, appears and states that she has no further instructions from the petitioner and, therefore, seeks to be discharged; she is accordingly discharged.
2. *Ms. Manika Tripathy*, Standing Counsel, appears for Delhi Development Authority (**DDA**) and states that this petition is not maintainable.
3. The petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court on 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2016 in **W.P.(C) 2680/2015**.
4. *Ms. Manika Tripathy*, informs that the Supreme Court by Judgment in



***Delhi Development Authority v. Tejpal and Ors.*** 2024 INSC 456, in a batch matter, has set aside this order and remanded the matter back to the High Court.

5. The matter is pending before the Writ Court and listed on 7<sup>th</sup> August 2025.
6. Accordingly, this petition does not survive and is disposed of.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**MAY 20, 2025/ak/tk**