



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 1125/2025, CRL.M.A. 10740/2025**
SUNEETA ALIAS SANGEETA SHARMA & ORS.

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Swatej Jetta and Mr. Addeb
Arshad, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.
.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, Ld. ASC for
the State with Ins. Suresh Chand
Choudhary, P.S. Sangam Vihar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **08.04.2025**

CRL.M.A. 10907/2025 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1125/2025

3. A Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Indian Constitution read with Section 528 BNSS has been filed for quashing of the FIR No.149/2019 under Section 3(1)(c)/3(1)(r)/3(1)(s) of the Scheduled Casts and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 on the ground that the Complaint is malicious and it is evident from the record that no castest remarks were made against the Complainant.
4. In fact the Complainant has been demanding monetary settlement



indicating malice and an attempt to misuse the provisions of SC/ST Act. Therefore, the quashing of the FIR is sought.

5. Learned APP appears on advance Notice and submits that the Charges have already been framed in the present case. If at all the appropriate remedy is to challenge the Order on Charge, rather than filing of present Petition for quashing of FIR.

6. At this stage learned counsel for the Petitioner on instructions seeks permission to withdraw the present Petition.

7. In view of the statement made, the present Petition is permitted to be withdrawn.

8. The Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 8, 2025/va