



\$~22 to 25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(22) W.P.(C) 5543/2023 & CM APPL. 21805/2023

THE CHIEF SECRETARY GNCTD & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ripudaman Bhardwaj,
CGSC with Mr.Kushagra
Kumar and Mr.Amit Kumar
Rana, Advs. for Delhi Police

versus

S I EXE RATTAN LAL MEENA BELT NO D 2012 PIS NO
28830097Respondent

Through: Mr.Sourabh Ahuja and
Mr.Keshav Singh, Advs.

**(23)+ W.P.(C) 6597/2023 & CM APPL. 25907/2023, CM APPL.
14024/2024**

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Amit Tiwari, CGSC with
Ms.Ayushi Srivastava and
Mr.Ayush Tanwar, Advs.

versus

EX SI EXE PHOOL CHANDRespondent

Through:

(24) W.P.(C) 6640/2023 & CM APPL. 26048/2023

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ripudaman Bhardwaj,
CGSC with Mr.Kushagra
Kumar and Mr.Amit Kumar
Rana, Advs. for Delhi Police

versus

EX ASI GIRISH CHANDERRespondent

Through:

(25) W.P.(C) 9045/2023 & CM APPL. 34399/2023

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Amit Tiwari, CGSC with
Ms.Ayushi Srivastava and



Mr.Ayush Tanwar, Advs.

versus

ASI (EXE.) DASHRATH SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.Sourabh Ahuja and
Mr.Keshav Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

24.11.2025

%

1. These petitions have been filed challenging the Orders passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the, 'Tribunal'), whereby, the learned Tribunal has observed that the punishment of dismissal from service imposed on the respondents was disproportionate to the allegations levelled against the respondents and, therefore, need to be re-considered by the Competent Authorities of the petitioners.

2. In the present case, the allegations levelled against the respondents were that they had failed to carry out the verification exercise required of them, and on basis of which, Indian passports were issued to various applicants, some of whom were later found to be, in fact, Afghan nationals.

3. Without entering into the merits of the challenge or the defence of the respondents, and keeping in view the submission of the learned counsels for the respondents that the other pleas of the respondents were not considered by the learned Tribunal in passing the Impugned Orders, with the consent of the learned counsels for the parties, we set aside the Impugned Orders and remand the matter back to the learned



Tribunal for a fresh determination. In such determination, all the pleas of the parties shall remain open.

4. The O.A.(s) are restored back to their original number. The parties shall appear before the learned Tribunal on 17.12.2025.

5. Keeping in view the fact that the proceedings were pending adjudication before the learned Tribunal for a long period, we request the learned Tribunal to expedite the hearing of these O.A.(s).

6. The petitions, along with pending applications, are disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2025/sg/VS