



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 3515/2023, CRL.M.A. 13355/2023&CRL.M.A.
31371/2023

SURINDER KAUR GROVERPetitioner

Through: Ms. Gauri Gupta and Mr.Rishabh
Kumar Jain, Advocates (VC)

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. & ORS.
.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the
State alongwith W/SI Chandrika, P.S.-
Tilak Nagar
Mr.Divjot Singh Bhatia, Mr.Aman
Singh Bakhsi and Mr.Shreesh Chadha,
Advocates for R-2 alongwith R-2
(through VC)

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER
09.05.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of the FIR No.42/2023 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Tilak Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the court of Ms. Shruti Sharma, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the complainant and during the pendency of the proceedings, the latter has settled the matter with respondent no. 2 as recorded in the order dated 23.01.2025 passed by the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia, and in pursuance of which respondent no. 2 has no objection, if the present FIR and the subsequent chargesheet are quashed.
4. Petitioner and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court through video conferencing and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer and W/SI Chandrika, P.S.-Tilak Nagar.
5. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioner and she has no objection if the FIR is quashed. She further states that all the terms of the agreement have been complied with.
6. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the chargesheet are quashed.
7. In *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”



8. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No.42/2023 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Tilak Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the court of Ms. Shruti Sharma, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.
9. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No.42/2023 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Tilak Nagar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the court of Ms. Shruti Sharma, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court (West), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, are hereby quashed,
10. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.
11. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 9, 2025
dy/pr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)